

RAMPS/ORH
Meeting Minutes
October 10, 2012

Location: 22 Cortlandt Street, 28th Floor, Internal Conference Room

Members Present: C. Boyd, G. Miskin, S. Wagner, J. Kamen, J. Astarita, T. Petrone

Topic	Comments	Follow-up
Flow of Information	A discussion took place relative to the topics discussed at these meetings and sensitivities related to timing and dissemination. It was agreed that a draft of the minutes would be approved by the committee with or without corrections before presentation to RAMPS board.	T. Petrone will circulate draft minutes to the committee.
Proposed Change to Lead Apron testing	Several comments from RAMPS members were reviewed related to the proposed change. In general the move from semiannual to annual evaluations was received favorably. Some feel initial and annual fluoroscopy (or radiography) should remain a requirement. This would be consistent with other states. G. Miskin will check with CRCPD. Mr. Parisi will be consulted. Exemptions for dental and podiatric offices were discussed.	Further discussion after review articles and CRCPD recommendation.
Proposed change to AEC evaluation	Several comments from RAMPS members were reviewed. In general, many felt the evaluation requirements should include other tests such as thickness tracking. Some debated the need for density control setting tracking. New Jersey guidelines were offered as an example. It was suggested the ORH do a state by state comparison and identify any regulatory gaps. AAPM reports #14 and 74 offers some guidance as well.	Further discussion Is needed based on the above.
Fluoroscopic training	We are still seeking a consensus on adequate training for fluoroscopists. States vary widely. It is generally agreed that the more involved and lengthy it is, the less will be compliance.	Continue to examine options to encourage best practice as built upon presentation of Dr. Balter.
NYS Part 16 Revision	The comment period expires November 19, 2012. NYC should follow for potential adoption principle. Amendment involves Radioactive Materials and has specific changes in Radiation Therapy related to new techniques and accreditation. The link is: http://w3.health.state.ny.us/dbspace/propregs.nsf/4ac9558781006774852569bd00512fda/32259c6f56dff82d85257a8a00549182?OpenDocument	Comment as appropriate before deadline.

<p>Cone Beam CT</p> <p>Cont'd</p>	<p>A thumb drive containing Cone Beam CT manuals from numerous manufacturers was presented to ORH by RAMPS. Many thanks to Mr. Astarita for gathering most of the documents.</p> <p>C. Boyd laid out a multistep process to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identify how many units in NYC (FDA database) • What are doses associated with these units • Decisions on re-classification of device • Develop Q.A. requirement <p>A suggestion for the content if a good Q.A. program included a consensus from the physicists that it include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dose • Spatial Resolution • Uniformity • Scaling • Noise 	<p>Identify #, assess dose, re-classify, develop Q.A.</p>
--	--	---

There being no further discussions, the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas J. Petrone, Ph.D.
Petrone Associates, LLC.