Patient Safety

Brett Miller, M.S. Henry Ford Hospital





Outline

- Simple Goal
- What can go wrong?
- What can't we control?
- What can we do?





Simple Goal

- Treat patients safely and as planned
- Treat the tumor, spare the normal tissue
- Simple process???





Steps in IMRT Process



Fig. 1. An intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) process tree. MD = physician; QA = quality assurance; RTP = radiation therapy planning; Tx = treatment; H&P = history and physical.

Huq et al, IJROBP, Vol 71, S1 ppS170-S173





Complexity of Radiation Therapy

- Many steps
- Many computer systems
- Complex technology
- Chaotic environment
- Increasingly complex interactions
- Many different people involved
- Beware of oversimplification of the process





People Involved in Radiotherapy Process

- Therapists
- Dosimetrists
- Physicists
- Physicians
- Nurses
- IT
- Administrators





Complexity of the Workspace









Complexity of the Workspace





Outline

- Simple Goal not really
- What can go wrong?
- What can't we control?
- What can we do?





What can go wrong?

- Error an act that through ignorance, deficiency, or accident departs from or fails to achieve what should be done
- Incident an unwanted or unexpected change from a normal system behavior, which causes, or has the potential to cause, an adverse effect to persons or equipment





What does going wrong mean?

Consequences of an Incident/Error

- •No effect on the safety or quality of treatment
- •Erosion of quality
- •A clinically significant adverse event



Deviation from optimum dose



Peter Dunscombe, PhD, University of Alberta, Tom Baker Cancer Center



What does going wrong mean?

What does going wrong mean?

•Unsafe = extreme compromise of quality.

•Let's not forget the patients caught in the "quality trap".





Peter Dunscombe, PhD, University of Alberta, Tom Baker Cancer Center



The Quality Trap



If you believe this distribution there must be patients who, due to departures from quality, receive treatments that do not result in obvious injuries but for whom the probability of the desired outcome is compromised.



Peter Dunscombe, PhD, University of Alberta, Tom Baker Cancer Center



Contributing factors

- Lack of training, competence or experience
- Fatigue and stress/time pressure
- Poor design and documentation of procedures
- Over-reliance on automated procedures



Contributing factors (cont.)

- Poor communication and lack of teamwork
- Hierarchical departmental structure
- Staffing and skill levels
- Working environment
- Changes in the process



What we can't control

Vendors

- Increasing complexity of technology
- Regulatory agencies
 - NRC
 - State of Michigan LARA





What can we do?

- Failure Mode and Effects Analysis
- Develop thorough QA policies and procedures
 - Continually update
- Review UMDC, CTB and product recalls
- Learn from experts in the field
- Learn from incidents in the field
- Staff
 - Educate staff/Learn from others
 - Provide with adequate tools, training and time
 - Maintain appropriate staffing levels
- Program Review
- Foster a Culture of Patient Safety





Failure Modes and Effects Analysis

- Process trees helps to understand the steps in a process or procedure
- Fault trees illustrates paths that can lead to errors
- Three categories:
 - O probability that a specific cause will result in a failure mode
 - S the severity of the effects from a specific failure mode
 - D the probability that the effect from a failure mode will go undetected





Failure Modes and Effects Analysis

 Product of the three values is the Risk Probability Number (RPN)

RPN = O * S * D

The higher the RPN, the higher the risk
TG-100 to define values for O, S and D



Huq et al, IJROBP, Vol 71, S1 ppS170-S173



Hierarchy of Effectiveness

Interlocks/ Forcing Functions

Automation

Simplify/Standardize

Checklists, reminders, double checks

Policies and Procedures

Training and Education





Hierarchy of Effectiveness

Most Useful

Interlocks/ Forcing Functions

Automation

Simplify/Standardize

Checklists, reminders, double checks

Policies and Procedures

Training and Education

Least Useful









Policies and Procedures







What can we do?

- Failure Mode and Effects Analysis
- Develop thorough QA policies and procedures
 - Continually update
- Review UMDC, CTB and product recalls
- Learn from experts in the field
- Learn from incidents in the field
- Staff
 - Educate staff/Learn from others
 - Provide with adequate tools, training and time
 - Maintain appropriate staffing levels
- Program Review
- Foster a Culture of Patient Safety





Review notices from vendors

URGENT MEDICAL DEVICE CORRECTION URGENT FIELD SAFETY NOTICE

Subject:	Potential Detachment of Gantry Center Throat Cover				
Commercial Name of Affected Product:	Varian High-Energy Clinac® linear accelerator (H14, H27, H29)				
Reference / FSCA Identifier:	CP-03412				
Date of Notification:	2011-05-24				
Type of Action:	Notification and Correction				
Details on Affected Devices:	Refer to appendix page.				





Sources of Information







Sources of Information

- www.ipem.ac.uk/docimages/2329.pdf
- www.who.int/patientsafety/activities/technica l/radiotherapy_risk_profile.pdf
- http://wwwpub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Pub10 84_web.pdf





Sources of Information

January 23, 2010

Radiation Offers New Cures, and Ways to Do Harm



THE RADIATION BOOM A Pinpoint Beam Strays Invisibly, Harming Instead of Healing

By WALT BOODANICH and KRISTINA REBELO

January 27, 2010

THE RADIATION BOOM

Case Studies: When Medical Radiation Goes Awry

By WALT BOGDANICH

February 10, 2010

F.D.A. to Increase Oversight of Medical Radiation

By WALT BOGOANICH and REBECCA R. RUIZ





Sources of information





- Radiation Oncology Safety Information System
- Established in 2001 under the auspices of ESTRO
- Voluntary web based safety information system for radiotherapy
- Annual Meeting in Dublin, Ireland
- http://www.rosis.info/











Nucleus	English <u>Español</u>
() IAEA Radiation Protection of Patients (RPOP)	Search RPoP safron 60
Home Information for Additional Resources Special Groups Member Area	About Vs. Our Work IAEA org

"SAFRON (Safety in Radiation Oncology) is a global safety reporting system being developed for radiotherapy. The system aims to enable reporting and learning from accidents / incidents and near incidents; integrate with existing systems while complementing national and mandatory systems; and integrate retrospective reporting and prospective risk analysis."



https://rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/ArchivedNews/ recent-issues-radiation-risks.htm





In the process of staffing a Committee that would:

- Develop a definition of reportable events to include radiation therapy using linear accelerators and e-brachytherapy technology, as well as high dose diagnostic procedures such as computed tomography (CT) and fluoroscopy.
- Develop/maintain a format and mechanism for state programs to provide the committee with details of reportable events.
- Review submitted reports for completeness and accuracy, and develop notices to the state programs when necessary.
- Oversee the development and maintenance of a CRCPD database of reportable events.
- Prepare an annual summary report for the CRCPD Board and the Newsbrief.
- Provide a verbal report at the Annual Meeting.





What can we do?

- Failure Mode and Effects Analysis
- Develop thorough QA policies and procedures
 - Continually update
- Review UMDC, CTB and product recalls
- Learn from experts in the field
- Learn from incidents in the field
- Staff
 - Educate staff/Learn from others
 - Provide with adequate tools, training and time
 - Maintain appropriate staffing levels
- Program Review
- Foster a Culture of Patient Safety





Staff Education

- Periodic Review of updated Policies and Procedures
- Just-in-time training
- In-service for new equipment, technology and procedures





Staffing Levels – ACR recommendations

Academic/CCC Comprehensive Cancer Center or main teaching hospital of a medical school

H1 Hospital based; 600 or more patients

F1 Freestanding; 600 or more patients

H2 Hospital based; 201-599 patients

F2 Freestanding; 201-599 patients

H3 Hospital based; 200 or fewer patients

F3 Freestanding; 200 or fewer patients

	ALL ACR ACCREDITED FACILITIES	ACADEMIC	H1	H2	H3	F1	F2	F3
New patients/ radiation oncologist	208	213	253	221	151	248	221	141
New patients/ Physicist	278	196	220	292	153	378	340	226
New patients/ FTE dosimetrist	262	296	348	279	192	287	257	198
New patients/ FTE therapist	71	72	67	75	51	81	73	58
FTE therapist/ Rx machine	3.3	4.1	2	3.4	3	3.9	3.3	2.5
New patients/ Rx machines	187	287	206	241	146	321	258	134





Program Audit/Review

RPC/RDC

- OSLD
- Site visits
- Peer Review/Self Audit
- External Audit
- ACR Accreditation





What can we do?

- Failure Mode and Effects Analysis
- Develop thorough QA policies and procedures
 - Continually update
- Review UMDC, CTB and product recalls
- Learn from experts in the field
- Learn from incidents in the field
- Staff
 - Educate staff/Learn from others
 - Provide with adequate tools, training and time
 - Maintain appropriate staffing levels
- Program Review
- Foster a Culture of Patient Safety





Culture of Patient Safety: What we need to do

- Start at the top
- Work as a Team
- Accountability not blame
- Policies and Procedures
- Measurement of Quality





Start at the top

- Every process needs a leader who must lead by example
- Everyone, including the leader, must look at their work with a critical eye
- Work as a Team
- Accountability not blame
- Policies and Procedures
- Measurement of Quality





- Start at the top
- Work as a Team
 - Therapist, Dosimetrist, Physicist, Physician, Nurse IT Professionals, Administrators
 - Remove Hierarchy
 - Anyone on the team can prevent an error
 - Everyone member of the team needs to have the appropriate tools, training and time to do their job correctly
 - Communication; Flow of Information
- Accountability not blame
- Policies and Procedures
- Measurement of Quality





- Start at the top
- Work as a Team
- Accountability not blame
 - Talk about errors as a learning experience
 - Must be a non-punitive, nurturing environment
- Policies and Procedures
- Measurement of Quality





- Start at the top
- Work as a Team
- Accountability not blame
- Policies and Procedures
 - Clear, consistent and thorough
 - Willingness to delay a treatment if not safe
 - Continually updated and modified with feedback from staff and monitoring of variance
 - Review of incidents when policies are not followed
- Measurement of Quality





- Start at the top
- Work as a Team
- Accountability not blame
- Policies and Procedures
- Measurement of Quality
 - Error Analysis and Variance Reporting
 - Key Quality Indicators





How can we reduce errors?

- Simplify the human interface
- Improve human performance
 - Establish and maintain (continually update) clear and thorough Policies and Procedures
 - Education professional meetings and reports, training,
 - Make sure staff has the necessary tools, training and time
 - Error reporting and analysis
- Establish a Culture of Patient Safety





Acknowledgments

- Indrin Chetty
- Tim Nurushev
- Michelle Dickinson, BSRT
- Peter Dunscombe, PhD, University of Alberta
- Joann Prisciandaro









